

REMARKS

Claims 1-18 are pending in the present application and have been rejected by the Examiner as follows. Claims 1 and 8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. Claims 1-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Tuli (U.S. Publication 2004/0139208) in view of Brisebois et al. (U.S. Patent 6,219,679).

Regarding the Examiner's rejection of Claims 1 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, the Examiner states that the claims fail to comply with the written description requirement. In particular, the Examiner states that the scrolling of a web page is not described in the specification. In order to more clearly conform to the specification, Claims 1 and 8 have been amended to change "scroll" to read "scan". This function of viewing a web page in a mobile terminal is described in the detailed description on page 1, lines 17-27.

Regarding the Examiner's rejection of independent Claims 1 and 8 under §103(a), the Examiner states that Tuli in view of Brisebois et al. render the claims obvious.

Tuli discloses a system that allows multiple users operating a personal digital assistant (PDA) receiving information from a server via a cellular phone to access the Internet or World Wide Web (WWW) to view and interact with these pages remotely. Tuli further discloses that a Web server is connected to the Internet and converts an image of a Web page into a bit map format which is compressed and then sent via the cellular phone to the PDA. In other words, the PDA displays a bit map image of a part of a Web page. As taught by Tuli, the PDA does not interact directly with the Web page but is fed a bit map image with which the PDA responds. This can cause unnecessary delays and does not allow direct interaction with a Web page when the PDA is not wirelessly connected to the Web server.

Brisebois et al. discloses enhanced user-interactive information content bookmarking.

Claims 1 and 8 each recite a display area. The display area of Claims 1 and 8 is an area of

a web page that can be displayed on a mobile terminal screen. This display area is smaller than the entire web page. The display area of Claims 1 and 8 has a start position. This start position is stored in a memory as part of the display information. This start position is used when displaying a revisited web page. As neither Tuli or Brisebois et al., nor any combination thereof, teaches or disclose storing a start position of a display area and/or using the start position when displaying a revisited web page, the rejections must be withdrawn.

Based on at least the foregoing, withdrawal of the rejections of Claim 1 and 8 is respectfully requested.

Independent Claims 1 and 8 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Without conceding the patentability per se of dependent Claims 2-7 and 9-18, these are likewise believed to be allowable by virtue of their dependence on their respective amended independent claims. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of dependent Claims 2-7 and 9-18 is respectfully requested.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-18, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicants' attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul J. Farrell

Reg. No. 33,494

Attorney for Applicant

THE FARRELL LAW FIRM
333 Earle Ovington Blvd. Suite 701
Uniondale, New York 11553
Tel: (516) 228-3565
Fax: (516) 228-8475

PJF/MJM/dr